

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,708	10/30/2003	Cyril Brignone	100203274-1	1932	
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 09/20/2007 CKARD COMPANY		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			CHOUDHURY, AZIZUL Q		
	AL PROPERTY ADMINI NS, CO 80527-2400	ISTRATION	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2145		
	, = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		mN				
	Application		Applicant(s)			
	10/698,708		BRIGNONE ET A	L.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Azizul Choud	dhury	2145			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF THIS uns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, mmunication. statutory period will apply and will e ply will, by statute, cause the applica is after the mailing date of this comm	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be timexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the strong to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s)	iled on 6/25/07					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is nor	ı-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition	<i>'</i> —		secution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to rest	/are withdrawn from cons					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 Octobe. Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	$\frac{r}{2003}$ is/are: a) \square accepojection to the drawing(s) being the correction is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clai a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat * See the attached detailed Office ac	ty documents have been ty documents have been es of the priority documen tional Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		i) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/26/07. 	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Detailed Action

This office action is in response to the correspondence received on June 25, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claims 1-9 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

MPEP § 2106.01 explains how data structures are statutory only when they are stored in a computer readable medium that is statutory. The Applicant's disclosure fails to describe the computer readable memory and database as being of statutory types. As such, the claims are therefore non-statutory. See MPEP § 2106.01 for further explanations of statutory and non-statutory computer-related subject matter.

Claims 1-9 and 22-26 are rejected because the claimed data structure is disposed in a computer readable memory, which is not statutory. The applicant refers to MPEP 2106.01 to oppose this rejection stating that data structures are statutory. This is incorrect. A data structure is only statutory when it is functional. For example if the data structure were to increase efficiency, then that data structure would be statutory. MPEP 2106.01 clearly states:

Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer-readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and

Application/Control Number: 10/698,708 Page 3

Art Unit: 2145

functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory.

In addition, the applicant alleges that the claims are statutory because the data structure is disposed within memory. Data structures are statutory only when they are <u>stored</u> within a memory. The current claim language claims that a data structure is <u>disposed</u> in a computer readable memory, not stored. Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims feature the phrase "...the receivability of said data structure to said client device is activated and deactivated..." The examiner can see how something can be activated or deactivated. The examiner can also see how something can be activated then later deactivated. However, it is not clear how anything can be activated and deactivated. Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2145

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 4 .

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tahtinen et al (US PGPUB No: US 2001/0046228 A1).

- 1. With regards to claim 1, Tahtinen teaches a data structure disposed in a computer readable memory for providing information corresponding to a geographic location, said data structure comprising: a first data field for identifying said location (equivalent to coordinate information, paragraph 4, Tahtinen); and a second data field associated with said first data field for containing said information, wherein a user can access said information (equivalent to subscriber number, paragraph 4, Tahtinen).
- 2. With regards to claim 2, Tahtinen teaches the data structure wherein said information is selectively provided to a client device on a network based on context relating to a user of said client device, wherein said context is subject to filtering and wherein said filtering functions to deter locating said user (If no

Art Unit: 2145

phone number is placed within the data structure, it is inherent that a user will not obtain the information within the data structure as claimed (paragraph 21, Tahtinen).

Page 5

- 3. With regards to claims 3, 11 and 17, Tahtinen teaches the data structure wherein said context changes dynamically in response to a condition relating to the temporal pertinence of said information with respect to said contextual information and wherein the receivability of said data structure to said client device is activated and deactivated in response to said condition (If the user is not logged into the service, the information is not attainable (paragraph 4, Tahtinen)).
- 4. With regards to claims 4, 12 and 18, Tahtinen teaches the data structure wherein said condition comprises a quality selected from the group consisting essentially of time and a locational aspect of said client device (paragraph 4, Tahtinen).
- 5. With regards to claims 5, 13 and 19, Tahtinen teaches the data structure wherein said locational aspect comprises a state selected from the group consisting essentially of directional orientation, tilt orientation, residing within a specified area of coverage, motion through said specified area of coverage, and accessibility of said location to a position of said client device (paragraph 12, Tahtinen).

Art Unit: 2145

6. With regards to claims 6 and 20, Tahtinen teaches the data structure wherein said condition comprises a sequence of events occurring and wherein said area of coverage changes dynamically in response to said sequence of events

Page 6

(paragraph 12, Tahtinen).

7. With regards to claims 7 and 21, Tahtinen teaches the data structure wherein said context comprises an attribute of said user, said attribute selected from the group consisting essentially of identity, profile, history, a preference, a credential, capability, an interest, and a privacy selection (paragraph 4, Tahtinen).

- 8. With regards to claim 8, Tahtinen teaches the data structure wherein said client device comprises a portable computing device and wherein said context is stored on said portable computing device (equivalent to mobile phone, paragraph 21, Tahtinen).
- 9. With regards to claims 9 and 23, Tahtinen teaches the data structure wherein said first data structure comprises a latitude and a longitude wherein said second data field is selected from the group consisting essentially of a uniform resource locator and a telephone number (The data structure can maintain coordinates and URL and telephone numbers (paragraphs 4 and 12, Tahtinen)).

Art Unit: 2145

Page 7

- 10. With regards to claim 10, Tahtinen teaches a network based system for selectively providing a data structure to a client device, said data structure having a first data field for identifying a location and a second data field associated with said first data field containing information corresponding to said location (paragraph 4, Tahtinen), comprising: a filter coupled to said network for accessing context stored at said client device and on the basis of said context determining that said data structure is pertinent to a user of said client device and wherein said filter functions to deter locating said user (Inherent since data structure is accessible to client within Tahtinen's design (paragraph 4, Tahtinen)); a server coupled to said network for selectively furnishing said data structure to said client device on the basis of said determining (element 8, Figure 1, Tahtinen); and a database coupled to said server for storing a plurality of said data structures and providing said data structure to said server (element 4, Figure 1, Tahtinen).
- 11. With regards to claim 16, Tahtinen teaches a network based method for selectively providing a data structure, said data structure having a first data field for identifying a location and a second data field associated with said first data field containing information corresponding to said location, to a client device (paragraph 4, Tahtinen), said method comprising: in response to a request from said client device, seeking context that characterizes a user of said client device (paragraph 4, Tahtinen); in response to said seeking, filtering said context to

Art Unit: 2145

deter locating said user (paragraph 21, Tahtinen); upon said filtering, determining from said context that said data structure is pertinent to said user, and in response to said determining, sending said data structure to said client device (paragraph 4 and 12, Tahtinen).

Page 8

- 12. With regards to claim 22, Tahtinen teaches a data structure disposed in a computer readable memory for providing information corresponding to a geographic location, said data structure comprising: a first data field for identifying said location with respect to a three dimensional reference system, wherein said three dimensional reference system is based selectively on an absolute reference and a relative reference (equivalent to coordinate information, paragraph 4, Tahtinen); and a second data field associated with said first data field for containing said information, wherein a user can access said information (equivalent to subscriber number, paragraph 4, Tahtinen).
- 13. With regards to claim 24, Tahtinen teaches the data structure wherein said first data structure comprises a plurality of fields wherein said fields identify said geographic location, wherein said absolute reference comprises a plurality of coordinate systems, and wherein each field of said plurality of fields is defined in a separate coordinate system of said plurality of coordinate systems (paragraphs 4 and 12, Tahtinen).

Art Unit: 2145

- 14. With regards to claim 25, Tahtinen teaches the data structure wherein said first data structure comprises a plurality of fields wherein said fields identify said geographic location, wherein said relative reference comprises a plurality of coordinate systems, and wherein each field of said plurality of fields is defined in a separate coordinate system of said plurality of coordinate systems (paragraphs 4 and 12, Tahtinen).
- 15. With regards to claim 26, The data structure wherein said first data structure comprises a plurality of fields wherein said fields identify said geographic location, wherein each field of said plurality of fields is defined in a separate coordinate system of said plurality of coordinate systems, and wherein a first field of said plurality of fields is defined based on said absolute reference and a second field of said plurality of fields is defined based on said relative reference (paragraphs 4 and 12, Tahtinen).

Response to Arguments

The amendment received on June 25, 2007 has been carefully examined but is not deemed fully persuasive. In lieu of the amendments, the 112-type rejection to claim 8 has been withdrawn. In addition, based on the arguments provided, some of the 101 and 112 type rejections have been overcome as well. However, the 101-type rejection regarding the data structure disposed in a memory still stands since it remains

Art Unit: 2145

nonstatutory. In addition, the 112-type rejection remains for claims 3, 11 and 17 since it is not clear how anything can be activated and deactivated at once.

As per the argument that the Tahtinen prior art fails to teach, "providing information corresponding to a geographic location, said data structure comprising: a first data field for identifying said location...wherein a user can access said information," the examiner disagrees with this assertion. Within paragraph 4, Tahtinen teaches both coordinate information (equivalent to the claimed first data field for identifying location) and subscriber number (equivalent to the claimed second data field holding data associated with first data field). The arguments go on to state that the prior art relates to a virtual reality location and suggests that the claimed invention is directed to a non-virtual reality environment. The examiner disagrees because the claims simply refer to a location and a virtual reality location is a location.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/698,708 Page 11

Art Unit: 2145

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Azizul Choudhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-3909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571) 272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER